

DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATIVE DENTISTRY AND ENDODONTICS


STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM-2018-19

DR.BALAGOPAL MDS-VICE PRINCIPAL-HOD

POSITIVE NOTES

Has an excellent knowledge base and communication skill. very punctual, sincere and committed to work. Has excellent ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader prospective, to generate interest towards the subject among students.Regarding various methods to evaluate the students, activities like quizzes/tests/assignment/examination/projects are being regularly conducted by the teacher. For the feedback, provision of sufficient is being given. Proper utilization of the teaching aids like PowerPoint etc by the teacher.very good in encouraging the students and giving advice for the better performance. The approach of the teacher was also excellent. Internal assessment is unbiased. Often the teacher provides feedback on your performance and helps in guiding them in the proper way. Overall rating is excellent.

DEFICIENCY AND ACTION TAKEN ON: On analyzing all the above discussed parameters there was no deficiencies, but still expected to improve the performance and effort for the benefit of the students.



DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATIVE DENTISTRY AND ENDODONTICS


STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM-2018-19

DR.HEMASATHYA .MDS-READER

POSITIVE NOTES

Has an excellent knowledge base and communication skill. very punctual, sincere and committed to work. Has excellent ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader prospective, to generate interest towards the subject among students. Regarding various methods to evaluate the students, activities like quizzes/tests/assignment/examination/projects are being regularly conducted by the teacher. For the feedback, provision of sufficient is being given. Proper utilization of the teaching aids like powerpoint etc by the teacher .very good in encouraging the students and giving advice for the better performance. The approach of the teacher was also excellent. Internal assessment is unbiased. Often the teacher provides feedback on your performance and helps in guiding them in the proper way. Overall rating is excellent.

DEFICIENCY AND ACTION TAKEN ON: On analyzing all the above discussed parameters there was no deficiencies, but still expected to improve the performance and effort for the benefit of the students.



DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATIVE DENTISTRY AND ENDODONTICS


STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM-2018-19

DR.OMAR FAROOQ -MDS-SENIOR LECTURER

POSITIVE NOTES

Has an excellent knowledge base and communication skill.very punctual,sincere and committee to work. Has excellent ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader prospective, to generate interest towards the subject among students.Regarding various methods to evaluate the students, activities like quizzes/tests/assignment/examination/projects are being regularly conducted by the teacher. For the feedback, provision of sufficient is being given. Proper utilization of the teaching aids like powerpoint etc by the teacher.very good in encouraging the students and giving advice for the better performance. The approach of the teacher was also excellent. Internal assessment is unbiased. often the teacher provides feedback on your performance and helps in guiding them in the proper way. Overall rating is excellent.

DEFICIENCY AND ACTION TAKEN ON: On analyzing all the above discussed parameters there was no deficiencies, but still expected to improve the performance and effort for the benefit of the students.



DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATIVE DENTISTRY AND ENDODONTICS


STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM-2018-19

DRVANDANA JAMES-MDS-READER

POSITIVE NOTES

Has an excellent knowledge base and communication skill.very punctual,sincere and committee to work. Has excellent ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader prospective, to generate interest towards the subject among students.Regarding various methods to evaluate the students, activities like quizzes/tests/assignment/examination/projects are being regularly conducted by the teacher. For the feedback, provision of sufficient is being given. Proper utilization of the teaching aids like powerpoint etc by the teacher.very good in encouraging the students and giving advice for the better performance. The approach of the teacher was also excellent. Internal assessment is unbiased. often the teacher provides feedback on your performance and helps in guiding them in the proper way. Overall rating is excellent.

DEFICIENCY AND ACTION TAKEN ON: On analyzing all the above discussed parameters there was no deficiencies, but still expected to improve the performance and effort for the benefit of the students.



DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATIVE DENTISTRY AND ENDODONTICS


STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM-2018-19

DR.BEJOY MONY-MDS-READER

POSITIVE NOTES

Has an excellent knowledge base and communication skill.very punctual,sincere and committee to work. Has excellent ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader prospective, to generate interest towards the subject among students.Regarding various methods to evaluate the students, activities like quizzes/tests/assignment/examination/projects are being regularly conducted by the teacher. For the feedback, provision of sufficient is being given. Proper utilization of the teaching aids like powerpoint etc by the teacher.very good in encouraging the students and giving advice for the better performance. The approach of the teacher was also excellent. Internal assessment is unbiased. often the teacher provides feedback on your performance and helps in guiding them in the proper way. Overall rating is excellent.

DEFICIENCY AND ACTION TAKEN ON: On analyzing all the above discussed parameters there was no deficiencies, but still expected to improve the performance and effort for the benefit of the students.



DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATIVE DENTISTRY AND ENDODONTICS


STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM-2018-19

DR.ANISHA-MDS-SENIOR LECTURER

POSITIVE NOTES

Has an excellent knowledge base and communication skill. very punctual, sincere and committee to work. Has excellent ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader prospective, to generate interest towards the subject among students. Regarding various methods to evaluate the students, activities like quizzes/tests/assignment/examination/projects are being regularly conducted by the teacher. For the feedback, provision of sufficient is being given. Proper utilization of the teaching aids like powerpoint etc by the teacher. very good in encouraging the students and giving advice for the better performance. The approach of the teacher was also excellent. Internal assessment is unbiased. Often the teacher provides feedback on your performance and helps in guiding them in the proper way. Overall rating is excellent.

DEFICIENCY AND ACTION TAKEN ON: On analyzing all the above discussed parameters there was no deficiencies, but still expected to improve the performance and effort for the benefit of the students.



DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATIVE DENTISTRY AND ENDODONTICS


STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM-2018-19

DR.OHM NIJANDHAN-MDS-SENIOR LECTURER

POSITIVE NOTES

Has an excellent knowledge base and communication skill.very punctual,sincere and committee to work. Has excellent ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader prospective, to generate interest towards the subject among students.Regarding various methods to evaluate the students, activities like quizzes/tests/assignment/examination/projects are being regularly conducted by the teacher. For the feedback, provision of sufficient is being given. Proper utilization of the teaching aids like powerpoint etc by the teacher.very good in encouraging the students and giving advice for the better performance. The approach of the teacher was also excellent. Internal assessment is unbiased. often the teacher provides feedback on your performance and helps in guiding them in the proper way. Overall rating is excellent.

DEFICIENCY AND ACTION TAKEN ON: On analyzing all the above discussed parameters there was no deficiencies, but still expected to improve the performance and effort for the benefit of the students.






Figure 1-Student Feedback 2018-19

Dr. S. Jimson, (Professor and Head of the Department)

Positive points reported:

After analysing the feedback, 80% and above were considered to be the positive points and below 80% were considered to be deficiencies. Students reported that the faculty member has exceptional and outstanding communication skills, Knowledge base, is very punctual, Sincere and committed to the work and teaching. Regarding various methods to evaluate the students, the teacher is regularly conducting tests/ Assignments and examinations and also use of Google classrooms and Discussions aided in accessing and evaluating the students. Sufficient time is given to collect feedback from the students. Proper utilization of teaching aids like Power points etc aided in integrating the subjects with other topics. Internal assessment was unbiased and the teacher is easily accessible to students and counsels them in academic and personal matters whenever needed. Overall rating is very good.

Deficiencies and Actions Taken:

On analysing all the parameters, there were no deficiencies, but still expected to improve performance and effort for the benefit of the students.

Dr. J. Parthiban, (Reader)

Positive points reported:

After analysing the feedback, 80% and above were considered to be the positive points and below 80% were considered to be the deficiencies. The students reported that the teacher has excellent knowledge base, they also appreciated the sincerity of the teacher towards work and teaching. The use of various teaching aids and various methods used by the teacher to impart knowledge like visual aids and power points were appreciated. Internal assessment was unbiased and the teacher is easily accessible to the students. Overall rating is very good.

Deficiencies and Actions Taken:

On analysing all the parameters, it was analysed that the communication skill of the teacher was not that effective. To improve, a lot of practice lectures and small group discussions were conducted and was able to achieve positive feedback from the students.

Dr. Lokesh, (Reader)

Positive points reported:

After analysing the feedback, 80% and above were considered to be the positive points and below 80% were considered to be the deficiencies. The students reported that the teacher has excellent knowledge base; they also appreciated the sincerity of the teacher towards work and teaching. The use of various teaching aids and various methods used by the teacher to impart knowledge like visual aids and power points were appreciated. Internal assessment was unbiased and the teacher is easily accessible to the students. Overall rating is very good.

Deficiencies and Actions Taken:

After analysing the parameters, there was no major deficiencies noted, but improvements were made by the use of various teaching aids like small group discussions and case discussions.

Dr. Anandh, (Reader)**Positive points reported:**

After analysing the feedback, 80% and above was considered to be positive points and below 80% were considered to be deficiencies. Knowledge, communication skills, Accessibility of teacher, sincerity and commitment to work were reported in the affirmative. Students also appreciated the use of various teaching aids like power point presentations and case discussions used by the teacher. Overall rating was very good.

Deficiencies and Actions Taken:

After evaluating the parameters, there was no major deficiencies found, but measures were taken to improve the methods of assessment of students by incorporating online discussions like Google discussion Classrooms.

Dr. Bharathi, (Senior Lecturer)**Positive points reported:**

After analysing the feedback, 80% and above was considered to be positive points and below 80% were considered to be deficiencies. Students appreciated the teacher's knowledge base, communication skills, sincerity towards work. Internal assessment was unbiased and various teaching methods adopted by the teacher for teaching were applauded. Overall rating was good.

Deficiencies and Actions Taken:

After evaluating the parameters, there was no major deficiencies found, but measures were taken to improve the methods of assessment of students by incorporating online discussions like Google discussion Classrooms.

Dr. Bala Jagannath Gupta, (Senior Lecturer)

Positive points reported:

After analysing the feedback, 80% and above were considered to be positive feedback points and below 80% were considered to be deficiencies. Knowledge, Communication skills, Sincerity of the teacher towards work, and interest taken by the teacher in imparting knowledge to the students, all were appreciated by the students. The teacher also took keen interest in taking feedback from the students after every lecture and he was readily available for the students to approach for any query. Overall rating was very good.

Deficiencies and Actions Taken:

After analysing the feedback, no major deficiencies were noted, but improvements were made by the addition of small group discussions and Google class discussions for imparting knowledge to the students.



Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.


DEPARTMENT OF ORALMEDICINE AND RADIOLOGY

STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM 2018-19

DR.ASOKAN..MDS

[HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT]


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.



POSITIVE POINTS:


Has extremely outstanding knowledge base, and communication skills. Very punctual, sincere and dedicated to work. Has aberrant ability to integrate with institutional environment and has efficient perspective towards subject to the students. It has been shown that the faculty had provided sufficient time to the students for their proper feedback about the subject. Adequate utilization of teaching aids power point, presentation was used by teacher. Highly motivating and encouraging the students and proper handling and advice for the better performance in subject. Unbiased internal assessment. It is seen that teacher also provides proper guidance and feedback on their performance frequently. The overall feedback is found to be excellent.

DEFICIENCY AND ACTION TAKEN ON:

On the detailed analysis of all above parameters, there was no deficiencies and inadequateness, but still expected to interrogate with the students regularly by evaluating them through quizzes/ tests/ assignments and projects for the benefit of the students.

DR.BALAJI..MDS

[READER]



POSITIVE POINTS:-


Has an excellent knowledge base and communication skill. Very punctual, sincere and committed to the work. Has excellent ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader perspective, to generate interest towards the subjects among students. Regarding various methods to evaluate the students, activities like quizzes/ tests/ assignment/examinations/ projects are being regularly conducted by the teacher. For the feedback, provision of sufficient is being given. Proper utilization of the teaching aids like power point etc by the teacher. Very good in encouraging the students and giving advice for the better performance. The approach of the teacher was also excellent. Internal assessment is unbiased. Often the teacher provides feedback on your performance and helps in guiding them in the proper way. Overall rating is excellent.

DEFICIENCY AND ACTION TAKEN ON:

On analyzing all the above discussed parameters there was no deficiencies, but still expected to improve the performance and effort for the benefit of the students.

DR.PARVATHI,MDS

[SENIOR LECTURER]




POSITIVE POINTS:-

Has extremely outstanding knowledge base, and communication skills. Very punctual, sincere and dedicated to work. Has aberrant ability to integrate with institutional environment and has efficient perspective towards subject to the students. It has been shown that the faculty had provided sufficient time to the students for their proper feedback about the subject. Adequate utilization of teaching aids power point, presentation was used by teacher. Highly motivating and encouraging the students and proper handling and advice for the better performance in subject. Unbiased internal assessment. The overall feedback is found to be excellent.

DEFICIENCY AND ACTION TAKEN ON:

All the parameters were analyzed carefully, there was no deficiencies but still expected to provide feedback about the student's performance frequently.

DEPARTMENT OF ORAL PATHOLOGY - 2018



DR.P.SAI KRISHNA: professor & HOD

Positive points reported :

After analyzing the feedback , a cut off value of **73%** and above was considered to be positive points and below that was considered to be deficiencies

Knowledge base of teacher, communication skills, sincerity and commitment and accessibility of teacher, effective use of teaching aids thereby generating interest in the subject and integrating the course with environment and other courses for broader perspective and wholesome understanding were well appreciated by the students. Teacher was always enthusiastic in counselling the students and to bring out the best in them and internal assessment was unbiased. Knowing the significance of feedback, teacher also provided sufficient time before collecting feedback from students. Overall rating of the teacher was reported to be very good.

DEFICIENCIES REPORTED:

Ability of design quizzes, tests, assignments, examinations and projects to evaluate students.

REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN:

Quizzes, tests, assignments, examinations and projects related to subjects are given to evaluate students.

DR.MAKESH RAJ : READER**Positive points reported:**

After analyzing the feedback , a cut value of **91%** and above was considered to be positive points and below that were considered to be deficiencies. Sincerity and commitment of the teacher to teaching , communication skills, good knowledge base resulting in integration of the subject with other specialties and environment for good understanding thus igniting interest among the students who very enthusiastically participated in the class were well commended by the students . Teaching aids used, evaluation of students through assignments and seminars and discussing their performance in the same were well appreciated. Sufficient time was provided for feedback and internal assessment was fair. Teacher was easily accessible to the students for counselling purposes in academic and personal matters. Overall rating was reported to be good.

Deficiencies reported:

No deficiencies were reported but the teacher is continuing to take extra efforts to improve his teaching skills.

DR.JAI SANTHOSH MANIKANDAN : Senior lecturer

Positive points reported:

After analyzing the feedback , a cut off value of **92 %** was taken and above that were considered to be positive points and below that was deficiencies. Knowledge base, communication skills, sincerity and commitment , accessibility of the teacher were highly appreciated. The teacher conducted seminars regularly and ignited interest towards the subject through effective use teaching aids and ensured students active participation in class. With the motto of bringing out the best , the teacher counselled and advised the students and discussed their performance in the terminal exams so as to help them overcome their mistakes . Internal assessment was fair and overall rating was good.

DEFICIENCIES REPORTED:

Ability of design quizzes, tests, assignments, examinations and projects to evaluate students. No sufficient time given feedback

REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN:

Quizzes, tests, assignments, examinations and projects related to subjects are given to evaluate students. Sufficient time given for getting feedback

DR. PRASANNA: senior lecturer

Positive points reported:

After analyzing the feedback, a cut off value of **73 %** was taken and above that were considered to be positive points and below that as deficiencies. Communication skills , knowledge, sincerity and commitment of the teacher, teaching aids used , encouraging student participation in class, counselling students whenever needed, conducting seminars and allotting assignments and providing feedback on students performance , giving adequate time to students for collecting feedback were reported in the affirmative. Overall rating was good

Deficiencies reported:

Inadequate teaching aids

Remedial action taken:

Teacher is using adequate audio-visual aids.




Figure 2-Student Feedback 2018-19

Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.

Dr. K. BALAJI

PROFESSOR AND HEAD

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS FOR THE YEAR 2018-19

FINAL YEAR

Positive points reported by the students:

By analyzing the feedback obtained from the students for the year 2018, it was understood that knowledge base of the teacher was at its best. The communication skills of the staff were highly appreciated, the commitment of the staff towards teaching was commendable, and sincerity of the staff and teaching aids used was the best. The accessibility of the staff in and out of the class and evaluation of students by conducting assignments is satisfactory. The staff also provides sufficient time for feedback and also encouraged students to actively participate in the class. The staff also gives counseling for the students' improvement. The overall rating of the staff by the students is 92%.

Deficiencies reported by the students:

The deficiency reported by the students was encouragement of students participation was less and integration of the course material with the environment is intermediate.

Action taken on the deficiencies:

Steps have been taken to generate interest by conducting quiz programs, group discussions, and seminars. Also steps have been taken to provide feedback on student's performance.

Dr. JOB ANISON

Reader

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS FOR THE YEAR 2018-19

Final year

Positive points reported by the students:

From the analysis done for the year 2018, it could be understood that the knowledge base of the teacher was appreciated; communication skills, commitment of the staff towards teaching, sincerity of the staff and teaching aids used was good. Few students felt that the accessibility of the staff in and out of the class was difficult and evaluation of students by conducting quiz, assignments was satisfactory. The students felt that the staff also provided sufficient time for feedback and encouraged students to actively participate in the class. The teacher's approach was good. The staff also gives counseling for the students' improvement. The overall rating of the staff by the students is 97%.

Deficiencies reported by the students:

The deficiency reported by the students was that the ability to design quizzes could have been more often and the presentations by staff could be more pictures oriented.

Action taken on the deficiencies:

Steps have been taken to improve concentration on designing quiz programs. The doctor had decided to add more clinical images to make the students understand easy.

Dr. SUNIL CHANDY VARGHESE

Senior lecturer

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS FOR THE YEAR 2018-19

Final year

Positive points reported by the students:

The communication skills were reported to be satisfactory. The sincerity and commitment in par and the students felt that the knowledge base of the teacher being the best. The students felt that the staff was easily accessible in and out of the classroom. The students felt that the teacher encouraged all the students to participate in class discussions and he provides sufficient time for the feedback. The overall rating of the teacher by the students was good 90%

Deficiencies reported by the students:

The deficiency reported by the students was that the teacher did not provide often the feedback on student's performance. The students even felt that the staff could provide more time for advising on how to go about with preparations for internal assessment.

Action taken on the deficiencies:

Steps have been taken to provide feedback on students' performance. The staff has made sure that importance to be given for presentations to make sure they do well in their exams.

Dr. Mahalakshmi

Senior lecturer

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS FOR THE YEAR 2018-19

Final year

Positive points reported by the students:

From the analysis it was understood that the knowledge base of the staff is good. The students felt that the accessibility of the teacher in and outside the class was good as well. The practical demonstration skills were appreciable. The students felt that sincerity and commitment of the teacher being the best. The students felt that the teacher also encouraged the students to actively participate in the class during discussions. The overall rating of the teacher by the students was good 88%

Deficiencies reported by the students:

The deficiency reported by the students was that the communication skill of the staff could be improved and could take time in advising and cultivate more interest in students.

Action taken on the deficiencies:

Steps have been taken to improve the communication skill of the staff. The staff has taken into consideration on how to advise students when they need help.

Dr. Rajesh

Senior Lecturer

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS FOR THE YEAR 2018-19

Final year

Positive points reported by the students:

The communication skills were reported to be very good, the students felt the sincerity and commitment in par and the knowledge base of the teacher was satisfactory. The accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class was reported to be good. Also the students feel that the teacher encourages all the students to participate in class discussions and provides sufficient time for the feedback. The overall rating of the teacher by the students was good 89%

Deficiencies reported by the students:

The deficiency reported by the students was that the teaching aids were pleasant and the ability to integrate course material to make the students understand in a broader perspective could have been improvised.

Action taken on the deficiencies:

Steps have been taken for the improvement of teaching aids. The teacher has taken trouble to incorporate more audio visual aids and videos to make understanding of the subject easy.

Dr. G. PREETHI

SENIOR LECTURER

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS FOR THE YEAR 2018-19

Final year

Positive points reported by the students:

The communication skills were reported to be acceptable, the ability to integrate course material with environment to provide a broader perspective was the best. The student felt that the accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class could have been better. The teacher did find time to give feedback on the students' performance and explained the scope for improvements and they also felt that the teacher provided sufficient time for the feedback. The overall rating of the teacher by the students was good: 87%

Deficiencies reported by the students:

The deficiency reported by the students was that the ability to design quizzes, and projects to evaluate students were lacking. The students felt that the staff could give more importance in encouraging more participation.

Action taken on the deficiencies:

Steps have been taken to make sure students participation is attended to and further steps taken to improve the methods to evaluate the students.



Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.


DEPT OF PEDODONTICS AND PREVENTIVE DENTISTRY

STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

INFERENCE- 2018-19

Name of the Staff: Dr Aruna Sharma

Professor& HOD



Positive Points Reported by Students


The knowledge base of the teacher, sincerity and commitment of the teacher in conducting classes and in the department were well appreciated by the students. The communication skills of the teacher, teaching aids used and the audibility of the classes were reported positively by the students. The approach of the teacher towards the students and the counselling given by the teacher for academic, professional and personal improvement were well appreciated by the students. On analysis of the feedback, Since more than 95% of the students are satisfied with various aspects of teaching, the faculty member decided to interact more with the students making learning more easier and thus improving the performance.

Deficiencies Reported by the students and Remedial Action Taken:

As 86% of the students reported that the accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class is less, 89% of the students reported that ability of the teacher to integrate the course material with the other specialities and discussions on various clinical conditions and treatment modalities were moderate, 86% reported that the ability of the teacher to design quizzes, test and assignment were less, steps were taken to encourage more discussions for greater interaction and for the students to ask more questions to clear their doubts not only during the theory class but also during the clinical postings and during their free time. Also it was decided to conduct more class tests, to give more assignments and to conduct orals

Name Of the Staff: Dr Jayaprakash

Reader



Positive Points Reported by Students


The knowledge base of the teacher, sincerity and commitment of the teacher in conducting classes and in the department were well appreciated by the students. The approach of the teacher towards the students and the counselling given by the teacher for academic, professional and personal improvement were well appreciated by the students. The teaching aids used and the audibility of the classes were reported positively by the students. On analysis of the feedback.

Deficiencies Reported by the students and Remedial Action Taken:

The students found that the communication skills of the teacher were not appreciable. Steps were taken to improve the deficiency. 79% of the students reported that the ability of the teacher to design quizzes, test and assignment were less, steps were taken to encourage more discussions, quizzes for greater interaction and the students were encouraged to ask more questions to clear their doubts not only during the theory class but also during the clinical postings. 81% reported that the teacher did not provide enough feedback on performance, so steps were taken to improve in that aspect.

Name Of the Staff: Dr Lakshmi priya

Senior lecturer



Positive Points Reported by Students


The communication skill, knowledge of the teacher, sincerity and commitment of the teacher in conducting classes and in the department were well appreciated by the students. The accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class were also appreciated by the students. The teaching aids used and the audibility of the classes were reported positively by the students. The approach of the teacher towards the students were appreciated by the students.

Deficiencies Reported by the students and Remedial Action Taken:

The students found that the ability of the teacher to design quizzes, test and assignment were less, steps were taken to encourage more discussions, quizzes for greater interaction between the students in the class for their better performance. 84% reported that the teacher did not provide enough feedback on their performance, so the teacher was encouraged to provide feedback on students performance on a regular basis .

Name Of the Staff: Dr Kameshwaran

Senior lecturer



Positive Points Reported by Students

The sincerity and commitment of the teacher in conducting classes and in the department, knowledge base of the teacher were well appreciated by the students. The communication skills of the teacher, teaching aids used and the audibility of the classes were reported positively by the students. The approach of the teacher towards the students and the counselling given by the teacher for academic, professional and personal improvement were well appreciated by the students. On analysis of the feedback, Since more than 95% of the students are satisfied with various aspects of teaching, the faculty member decided to interact more with the students making learning more easier and thus improving the performance.


Deficiencies Reported by the students and Remedial Action Taken:

89% of the students reported that the ability of the teacher to design quizzes, test and assignment were less, steps were taken to encourage more discussions for greater interaction and for the students to ask more questions to clear their doubts. it was decided to conduct more class tests, to give more assignments and to conduct orals. The teacher was encouraged to provide feedback on the performance of students for better improvement of students.



Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.

DEPARTMENT OF PERIODONTICS



DR.CHITRAA R CHANDRAN, MDS, Professor and HOD

Positive Points Reported:

Knowledge base of the teacher, communication skills, sincerity and commitment, being well prepared for the class, encouraging participation of students in the proceedings of the class and generating interest in the subject by effective use of various teaching aids and accessibility of the teacher and counseling the students in academic and personal matters were reported In the affirmative by the student. Extent of coverage of the subject and integration of the subject with other courses and environment was reported to be good. Evaluation of students by quizzes, seminars and discussions, Provision of sufficient time for feedback and provision of feedback on student's performance were reported to be good. Internal assessment was unbiased. Overall rating of the teacher was reported to be positive.

Deficiencies reported and remedial action taken: The students reported no deficiencies but the faculty member continues to take extra efforts to hone teaching skills for the benefit of the students.

DR. BHUVANESWARI, MDS, Reader

Positive Points Reported:

Knowledge base of the teacher, Communication Skills, Sincerity and Commitment of the teacher were reported to be very good. Interest generating and provision of sufficient time for feedback and provision of feedback on their performance were also reported positively by the students. Students also reported that the teacher was integrating the subject with other specialties and environment well and encouraging student participation in the class. Internal assessment was reported to be fair. Accessibility of the teacher, counseling the students and overall rating the teacher were reported to be good.

Deficiencies reported:

Deficiencies reported include lack of evaluation of the students and knowledge gained by the students at the end of the class. Assignments and projects were not frequently given. The teacher did not utilize various teaching aids in classes.

Remedial Measures Taken: The teacher was asked to interact always at the end of the lecture and to assess them at the end of the class (viva/quiz) to know the knowledge gained by the student after each class. Assignments, projects and quizzes have been given on a regular basis after completion of the topics. Various types of teaching aids were to be incorporated in the teaching methodology.

DR, PARTHASARADHI, MDS, Reader

Positive Points reported:

Knowledge base of the teacher, communication skills, sincerity and commitment, ability to integrate the course material with environment and other courses and counseling the students to bring out the best in them were reported to be good. Ability to design quizzes and seminars and assessing the knowledge gained by the students at the end of the class were also highly appreciated by the students. Effective use of teaching aids generated interest towards the subject among the students.

Deficiencies Reported:

Deficiencies reported included lack of student participation in class and accessibility of the teacher. Provision of sufficient time for feedback and provision of feedback on student performance was also mentioned to be inadequate.

Remedial Action taken:

The teacher was asked to encourage the students to ask any doubts without any fear and started with open discussions during the class hours to encourage student participation in class. The teacher was asked to allot time at the end of each class to encourage student feedback. The teacher is also available during the college hours in the department for the students to clarify their doubts.

DR.JUALA CATHERINE, MDS, Senior Lecturer

Positive points reported:

Knowledge base, communication skills, commitment of teacher towards teaching, accessibility and interest generated by the teacher were reported to be good. The teacher was able to integrate the course material with the environment and other courses well and also provided sufficient time for feedback from the students. Evaluation of students through quizzes, seminars and assignments and internal assessment were reported to be satisfactory and the teacher provided feedback to the students on their performance. Overall rating was reported to be positive.

Deficiencies reported:

Lack of active student participation in class and lack of sufficient teaching aids and were the main deficiencies reported by the students.

Remedial Action taken:

The faculty member is preparing slides and including more media to make the lecture classes more interactive and interesting. The teacher is asked to spend few minutes of the class in asking viva and discussions to ensure student participation during the class.

DR.VIGNESH VIKRAM, MDS, Senior Lecturer

Positive points reported:

Knowledge base of the teacher, encouraging participation of students in the proceedings of the class, generating interest in the subject by effective use of various teaching aids and accessibility of the teacher were reported in the affirmative. Also students reported that the staff was well prepared for the class and provided sufficient time for feedback and provision of feedback on student's performance. Accessibility of the teacher by the students was reported to be good.

Deficiencies Reported:

Deficiencies reported include lack of student knowledge evaluation by quizzes/ viva at the end of the lecture. The faculty member's communication skills, interest generated in the topic and approachability were found to be inadequate.

Remedial Measures Taken:

The teacher was asked to interact better with the students to improve subject articulation and comprehensibility of the students. Consistent evaluation by designing quizzes at the end of the class was encouraged.



Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.

Dr. DELFIN LOVELINA FRANCIS, MDS, Reader

Positive points reported


After analyzing the feedback 89% and above was established as cutoff and above that as positive points and less than that as deficiencies. Knowledge base of the teacher was well appreciated by students. Communication skills and sincerity of the teacher was good. Ability to integrate course material to provide a broader perspective was good. Teaching aids was also satisfactory. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class was unsatisfactory. Ability to design quizzes/ test/ assignments/ examinations/ projects to evaluate students were unsatisfactory. Provision of sufficient time for feedback was also good. Teacher encouraging the participation in the class was very good. Teacher helpfulness in advising the students was good. Teachers approach can be best described as good. Internal assessment was good. More often teacher provided feedback of the performance of students. Finally the overall rating was good.

Deficiencies reported:

Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class was unsatisfactory. Ability to design quizzes/ test/ assignments/ examinations/ projects to evaluate students were unsatisfactory.

Remedial actions:

Teacher was advised to be available during the college hours for easy accessibility. Teacher was advised to conducted test periodically and conducted examination once in a month.



Dr. M. DINESH DHAMODHAR, MDS, Senior lecturer

Positive points reported


After analyzing the feedback 88% and above was established as cutoff and above that as positive points and less than that as deficiencies. Knowledge base of the teacher was well appreciated by students. Communication skills and sincerity of the teacher was good. Ability to integrate course material to provide a broader perspective was unsatisfactory. Teaching aids was also unsatisfactory. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class was good. Ability to design quizzes/ test/ assignments/ examinations/ projects to evaluate students were good. Provision of sufficient time for feedback was also good. Teacher encouraging the participation in the class was good. Teacher helpfulness in advising the students was good. Teachers approach can be best described as good. Internal assessment was good. More often teacher provided feedback of the performance of students. Finally the overall rating was good.

Deficiencies reported:

Ability to design quizzes/ test/ assignments/ examinations/ projects to evaluate students were unsatisfactory.

Remedial actions:

Teacher was advised to use various audio visual aids to integrate course material with broader perspective and to improve a better teacher aids with engages the students.



Dr. B. KUMARA RAJA, MDS, Senior lecturer

Positive points reported

After analyzing the feedback 78% and above was established as cutoff and above that as positive points and less than that as deficiencies. Knowledge base of the teacher was well appreciated by students. Communication skills and sincerity of the teacher was good. Ability to integrate course material to provide a broader perspective was satisfactory. Teaching aids was also satisfactory. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class was satisfactory. Ability to design quizzes/ test/ assignments/ examinations/ projects to evaluate students were satisfactory. Provision of sufficient time for feedback was also satisfactory. Teacher encouraging the participation in the class was good. Teacher helpfulness in advising the students was satisfactory. Teachers


approach can be best described as good. Internal assessment was good. More often teacher provided feedback of the performance of students. Finally the overall rating was good.

Deficiencies reported:

Ability to design quizzes/ test/ assignments/ examinations/ projects to evaluate students were unsatisfactory. Provision of sufficient time for feedback was also unsatisfactory. Teacher helpfulness in advising the students was unsatisfactory.


Remedial actions:

Teacher was advised to conducted test periodically and conducted examination once in a month. Teacher was also instructed to give daily assignment during every class. Teacher was advised to spend time for getting feedback from students.



Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.

DEPARTMENT OF PROSTHODONTICS



DR.C. J. VENKATAKRISHNAN, MDS, Ph.D, Professor and HOD

Positive Points Reported:

Extent of coverage of the subject and integration of the subject with other courses and environment was reported to be good. Knowledge base of the teacher, communication skills, sincerity and commitment, being well prepared for the class, encouraging participation of students in the proceedings of the class and generating interest in the subject by effective use of various teaching aids and accessibility of the teacher and counseling the students in academic and personal matters were reported in the

affirmative by the student. Evaluation of students by quizzes, seminars and discussions, provision of sufficient time for feedback and provision of feedback on student's performance were reported to be good. Internal assessment was unbiased. Overall rating of the teacher was reported to be positive.

Deficiencies reported and remedial action taken: The students reported no deficiencies but the faculty member continues to take extra efforts for the benefit of the students.

DR. PRADEEP, MDS, Reader

Positive Points Reported:

Interest generating and provision of sufficient time for feedback and provision of feedback on their performance were also reported positively by the students. Knowledge base of the teacher, Communication Skills, Sincerity and Commitment of the teacher were reported to be very good. Accessibility of the teacher, counseling the students and overall rating the teacher were reported to be good. Students also reported that the teacher was integrating the subject with other specialties and environment well and encouraging student participation in the class. Internal assessment was reported to be fair.

Deficiencies reported:

The teacher did not utilize various teaching aids in classes. Deficiencies reported include lack of evaluation of the students and knowledge gained by the students at the end of the class. Assignments and projects were not frequently given.

Remedial Measures Taken: Various types of teaching aids were to be incorporated in the teaching methodology. The teacher was asked to interact always at the end of the lecture and to assess them at the end of the class (viva/quiz) to know the knowledge gained by the student after each class. Assignments, projects and quizzes have been given on a regular basis after completion of the topics.

DR.JACOB PHILLIP MATHEW, MDS, READER

Positive points reported:

Evaluation of students through quizzes, seminars and assignments and internal assessment were reported to be satisfactory and the teacher provided feedback to the students on their performance. Knowledge base, communication skills, commitment of teacher towards teaching, accessibility and interest generated by the teacher were reported to be good. The teacher was able to integrate the course material with the environment and other courses well and also provided sufficient time for feedback from the students.

Deficiencies reported:

Lack of active student participation in class and lack of sufficient teaching aids were the main deficiencies reported by the students.

Remedial Action taken:

The faculty member is preparing slides and including more media to make the lecture classes more interactive and interesting. The teacher is asked to spend few minutes of the class in asking viva and discussions to ensure student participation during the class.

DR,NARASIMMHA, MDS, Reader

Positive Points reported:

Ability to design quizzes and seminars and assessing the knowledge gained by the students at the end of the class were also highly appreciated by the students. Effective use of teaching aids generated interest towards the subject among the students. Knowledge base of the teacher, communication skills, sincerity and commitment, ability to integrate the course material with environment and other courses and counseling the students to bring out the best in them were reported to be good.

Deficiencies Reported:

Deficiencies reported included lack of student participation in class and accessibility of the teacher. Provision of sufficient time for feedback and provision of feedback on student performance was also mentioned to be inadequate.

Remedial Action taken:

The teacher is also available during the college hours in the department for the students to clarify their doubts. The teacher was asked to encourage the students to ask any doubts without any fear and started with open discussions during the class hours to encourage student participation in class. The teacher was asked to allot time at the end of each class to encourage student feedback.

DR.RATHINAVEL PANDIAN, MDS, Senior Lecturer

Positive points reported:

Knowledge base of the teacher, encouraging participation of students in the proceedings of the class, generating interest in the subject by effective use of various teaching aids and accessibility of the teacher were reported in the affirmative. Also students reported that the staff was well prepared for the class and provided sufficient time for feedback and provision of feedback on student's performance. Accessibility of the teacher by the students was reported to be good.

Deficiencies Reported:

Deficiencies reported include lack of student knowledge evaluation by quizzes/ viva at the end of the lecture. The faculty member's communication skills, interest generated in the topic and approachability were found to be inadequate.

Remedial Measures Taken:

The teacher was asked to interact better with the students to improve subject articulation and comprehensibility of the students. Consistent evaluation by designing quizzes at the end of the class was encouraged.

DR.HELEN MARY ABRAHAM, MDS, Senior Lecturer

Positive points reported:

Also students reported that the staff was well prepared for the class and provided sufficient time for feedback and provision of feedback on student's performance. Knowledge base of the teacher, encouraging participation of students in the proceedings of the class, generating interest in the subject by effective use of various teaching aids and accessibility of the teacher were reported in the affirmative. Accessibility of the teacher by the students was reported to be good.

Deficiencies Reported:

Deficiencies reported include lack of student knowledge evaluation by quizzes/ viva at the end of the lecture. The faculty member's communication skills, interest generated in the topic and approachability were found to be inadequate.

Remedial Measures Taken:

The teacher was asked to interact better with the students to improve subject articulation and comprehensibility of the students. Consistent evaluation by designing quizzes at the end of the class was encouraged.

DR.VIVEK RAJASIMHA, MDS, SENIOR LECTURER

Positive points reported:

Evaluation of students through quizzes, seminars and assignments and internal assessment were reported to be satisfactory and the teacher provided feedback to the students on their performance. Knowledge base, communication skills, commitment of teacher towards teaching, accessibility and interest generated by the teacher were reported to be good. The teacher was able to integrate the course material with the environment and other courses well and also provided sufficient time for feedback from the students.

Deficiencies reported:

Lack of active student participation in class and lack of sufficient teaching aids and were the main deficiencies reported by the students.

Remedial Action taken:

The faculty member is preparing slides and including more media to make the lecture classes more interactive and interesting. The teacher is asked to spend few minutes of the class in asking viva and discussions to ensure student participation during the class

DR.NITHYARAJAN, MDS, Senior Lecturer

Positive points reported:

Accessibility of the teacher by the students was reported to be good.

Knowledge base of the teacher, encouraging participation of students in the proceedings of the class, generating interest in the subject by effective use of various teaching aids and accessibility of the teacher were reported in the affirmative. Also students reported that the staff was well prepared for the class and provided sufficient time for feedback and provision of feedback on student's performance.

Deficiencies Reported:

Deficiencies reported include lack of student knowledge evaluation by quizzes/ viva at the end of the lecture. The faculty member's communication skills, interest generated in the topic and approachability were found to be inadequate.

Remedial Measures Taken:

The teacher was asked to interact better with the students to improve subject articulation and comprehensibility of the students. Consistent evaluation by designing quizzes at the end of the class was encouraged.



Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH DENTISTRY

PATIENT FEEDBACK - 2018

From
Head of the Department
Department of Public Health Dentistry
Tagore Dental College and Hospital

10.05.2018

To
The Principal
Tagore Dental College and Hospital

Respected Mam,

Subject: Regarding measures taken for the grievances of patient feedback for the academic year 2018.

This is to bring to your kind notice that the following corrective measures have been taken regarding grievances in patient feedback. The overall percentage shows improvement than the previous year.

In the year 2018,

Feedback Q.no 16

- Only **90.4%** of patients were saying that waiting area was comfortable. Steps were taken to increase their comfort levels while waiting.

Feedback Q.no 6

- Only **91.6%** of patients were saying that dentist does not criticize their oral condition or compared it with others. Steps were taken to focus more in this regard.


Thanking You



Head of the Department
Department of Public Health Dentistry

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH DENTISTRY

PATIENT FEEDBACK - 2018



- 98.4% of patients are saying that dental staff were concentrating on their work
- 94.4% of patients are saying that dentist were friendly with them
- 95.78% of patients are saying that dentist explained the procedures before starting the treatment
- 94.4% of patients are saying that dentist gave advices after treatment
- 94.1% of patients are saying that dentist facial expression was cheerful with a smile
- 91.6% of patients are saying that dentist does not criticize their oral condition or compared it with others
- 94.8% of patients are saying that the questions which was asked during offering care was appropriate
- 91.7% of patients are saying that treatment was not painful
- 93.6% of patients are saying that the dental examination was thorough
- 95.2% of patients are saying that they received good quality treatment
- 93.9% of patients are saying that dental instrument were sterilized
- 94.9% of patients are saying that treatment time table was explained previously and was maintained
- 93% of patients are saying that working hours of the clinic were suitable for them
- 93.6% of patients are saying that no need to wait for long time to get an appointment
- 96.5% of patients are saying that treatments was satisfactory
- 90.4% of patients are saying that waiting area was comfortable

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH DENTISTRY

PATIENT FEEDBACK - 2017

From
Head of the Department
Department of Public Health Dentistry
Tagore Dental College and Hospital

10.05.2017

To
The Principal
Tagore Dental College and Hospital

Respected Mam,

Subject: Regarding measures taken for the grievances of patient feedback for the academic year 2017.

This is to bring to your kind notice that the following corrective measures have been taken regarding grievances in patient feedback. The overall percentage shows improvement than the previous year.

In the year 2017,

Feedback Q.no 13

- Only 92% of patients are saying that working hours of the clinic were suitable for them. Steps were taken to increase the working hours for the patients.

Feedback Q.no 11

- Only 93% of patients are saying that dental instruments were sterilized. So care was taken to check if all the instruments were sterilized before carrying out the procedures.


Thanking You




Head of the Department
Department of Public Health Dentistry

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH DENTISTRY

PATIENT FEEDBACK - 2017



- 99% of patients are saying that dental staff were concentrating on their work
- 97% of patients are saying that dentist were friendly with them
- 97% of patients are saying that dentist explained the procedures before starting the treatment
- 97% of patients are saying that dentist gave advices after treatment
- 96% of patients are saying that dentist facial expression was cheerful with a smile
- 95% of patients are saying that dentist does not criticize their oral condition or compared it with others
- 97% of patients are saying that the questions which was asked during offering care was appropriate
- 94% of patients are saying that treatment was not painful
- 96% of patients are saying that the dental examination was thorough
- 96% of patients are saying that they received good quality treatment
- 93% of patients are saying that dental instrument were sterilized
- 93% of patients are saying that treatment time table was explained previously and was maintained
- 92% of patients are saying that working hours of the clinic were suitable for them
- 95% of patients are saying that no need to wait for long time to get an appointment
- 96% of patients are saying that treatments was satisfactory
- 93% of patients are saying that waiting area was comfortable


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.

2018

From

Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology,
Tagore dental college and hospital,
Chennai

To

The principal,
Tagore dental college and hospital,
Chennai

Respected madam,

Subject: Regarding the suggestions given by the department of on the patient feedback forms submitted for the year 2018.

This is to bring to your kind notice that there was an overall improvement in the patient services when compared to the previous year. The following measures undertaken to improve the patient needs and services.

1. Since patients felt that the treatments offered was sometimes painful, measures were taken to control the dental treatment related anxiety and phobia and patients were re-assured about their well-being on and during the treatment procedures.


Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,




(HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT)
Dr. G.S. ASOKAN
Professor & HOD,
Dept. of Oral Medicine & Radiology,
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, MELAKKOTTAIYUR (P.O.)
CHENNAI-600 127.

PATIENT'S FEEDBACK 2018



1. 92% of patient feels dental staff were concentrating on their work.
2. 94% of patient feels dentist was friendly with them.
3. 92% of patient feels dentist explained the procedures before treatment.
4. 86% of patient feels dentist gave them advices after treatment.
5. 87% of patient feels dentist facial's expression was cheerful with a smile.
6. 87% of patient feels dentist did not criticize their oral condition or compared it with others.
7. 85% of patient feels dentist asked appropriate question during offering care.
8. 82% of patient feels treatment offered was not painful.
9. 83% of patient feels thorough dental examination was done.
10. 85% of patient received good quality treatment.
11. 85% of patient feels dental instruments used were sterilized.
12. 87% of patient feels treatment time table was explained previously and was maintained.
13. 84% patient feels working hours of the clinic were suitable for them.
14. 88% patient feels they did not wait for long time to have an appointment.
15. 89% of patients were satisfied with the treatment.
16. 89% of patient feels comfortable waiting area.


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.

2017

From

Department of oral medicine and radiology,
Tagore dental college and hospital,
Chennai

To

The principal,
Tagore dental college and hospital,
Chennai

Respected madam,

SUBJECT: Regarding the suggestions given by the department of on the patient feedback forms submitted for the year 2017.

This is to bring to your kind notice that there was an overall improvement in the patient services when compared to the previous year. The following measures undertaken to improve the patient needs and services.

1. Some patients reported that waiting area can be still more comfortable. This was also considered and accomplished by incorporating many dental pathologies related awareness posters.


Suggestions:

- Waiting area can be made even more pleasant by stocking up some magazines and providing complimentary coffee and tea, and offering TV entertainment which can go long way in optimizing patient satisfaction even when the wait time isn't ideal.


Thanking you,

Yours sincerely


(HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT)


Dr. G.S. SUBBAN
Professor & HOD,
Dept. of Oral Medicine & Radiology,
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, MELAKKOTTAIYUR (P.O)
CHENNAI-600 127.

PATIENT FEEDBACK 2017



1. 91% of patient feels dental staff were concentrating on their work.
2. 88% of patient feels dentist was friendly with them.
3. 91% of patient feels dentist explained the procedures before treatment.
4. 84% of patient feels dentist gave them advices after treatment.
5. 88% of patient feels dentist facial's expression was cheerful with a smile.
6. 85% of patient feels dentist did not criticize their oral condition or compared it with others.
7. 81% of patient feels dentist asked appropriate question during offering care.
8. 75% of patient feels treatment offered was not painful.
9. 76% of patient feels thorough dental examination was done.
10. 77% of patient received good quality treatment.
11. 71% of patient feels dental instruments used were sterilized.
12. 78% of patient feels treatment time table was explained previously and was maintained.
13. 76% patient feels working hours of the clinic were suitable for them.
14. 74% patient feels they did not wait for long time to have an appointment.
15. 71% of patients were satisfied with the treatment.
16. 77% of patient feels comfortable waiting area.


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.

8/1/2019

From

Department of Periodontics
Tagore Dental College & Hospital
Chennai

To

The Principal,
Tagore Dental College & Hospital
Chennai

Respected Madam,

Subject: Regarding the suggestions given by the department of on the patient feedback forms submitted for the year 2018.


This is to bring to your kind notice regarding the suggestions given by the department on the feedback forms submitted for the year 2018. There was an overall improvement in the patient services when compared to the previous year. The following steps were taken to improve the patient services.

- 1) Students were advised to strictly follow the sterilization protocol under the supervision of the staff nurse.

Thanking You,

Yours sincerely,





- 1) 98.7 % of patients are saying that dental staffs were concentrating on their work.
- 2) 97.3 % of patients are saying that dentists were friendly with them.
- 3) 88.4% of patients are saying that dentist explained the procedures before starting treatment.
- 4) 90.7% of patients are saying that dentist gave them advice after treatment.
- 5) 93.4 % of patients are saying that dentist's facial expression was cheerful with a smile.
- 6) 91.9% are saying that dentist did not criticize their oral hygiene and compared it with others.
- 7) 92 % of patients are saying that questions asked during treatment were appropriate.
- 8) 95.3 % of patients are saying that treatment was not painful.
- 9) 89.2 % of patients are saying that dental examination was thorough.
- 10) 88.4 % of patients are saying that they received good quality treatment.
- 11) 67.6% of patients are saying that the instruments used were sterilized.
- 12) 96.6% of patients are saying that treatment timetable was explained previously and was maintained.
- 13) 97.7% of patients are saying that the working hours of the clinic are suitable for them.
- 14) 85.2 % of patients are saying that they don't have to wait for a long time to get an appointment.
- 15) 92.6 % of patients are saying that the treatment was satisfactory.
- 16) 95.5% of patients are saying that the waiting area waiting area was comfortable.

Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
 PRINCIPAL
 TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
 RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
 MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.

From

Head of the department

Department of Prosthodontics.

Tagore dental college and hospital.

To

The principal

Tagore dental college and hospital.

Respected mam,

Subject: Regarding measures taken for the grievances of patient feedback for the year of 2017.

This is to bring to your notice that the overall percentage regarding the patient feedback has been increased. The patients show positive response and are satisfied with treatment provided. I am sure the department will provide improvement in the upcoming years too.

Thanking you.


Date: 5-1-2018



Head of the department
Department of Prosthodontics

DEPARTMENT OF PROSTHODONTICS AND IMPLANTOLOGY

PATIENT FEEDBACK INFERENCE 2017



91% of patients are saying that dental staffs were concentrating on their work.

90.6% of patients are saying that dentist were friendly with them.

91.1% of patients are saying that dentist explained the procedures before starting the Treatment

88.9% of patients are saying that dentist gave advices after treatment

89.4% of patients are saying that dentist facial expression was cheerful with a smile

90.4% of patients are saying that dentist does not criticize their oral condition or compares it with others.

88.1% of patients are saying that the question which was asked during offering care was appropriate.

89.5% of patients are saying that treatment was not painful.

90.2% of patients are saying that the dental examination was thorough.

88.6% of patients are saying that they received good quality of treatment.

89.2% of patients are saying that dental instruments were sterilized.


87.9% of patients are saying that treatment time table was explained previously and was maintained.

86.2% of patients are saying that working hours of the clinic were suitable for them

89.1% of patients are saying that no need to wait for long time to get an appointment

88.4% of patients are saying that treatment was satisfactory.

90% of patients are saying that waiting area was comfortable.


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.

From

Head of the department

Department of Prosthodontics.

Tagore dental college and hospital.

To

The principal

Tagore dental college and hospital.


Respected mam,

Subject: Regarding measures taken for the grievances of patient feedback for the year of 2018.

This is to bring to your notice that the patient feedback percentage shows good improvement than the previous years. The average percentage is above 95%, and the patients were satisfied with quality of treatments, staffs, appointment timings, etc. I am sure our department will definitely maintain the patient's response in upcoming years.

Thanking you.


Date: 8
8-1-2019



Head of the department
Department of Prosthodontics

DEPARTMENT OF PROSTHODONTICS AND IMPLANTOLOGY

PATIENT FEEDBACK INFERENCE 2018



98.6of patients are saying that dental staffs were concentrating on their work.

98.8% of patients are saying that dentist were friendly with them.

98.7% of patients are saying that dentist explained the procedures before starting the Treatment

98.6% of patients are saying that dentist gave advices after treatment

98.6% of patients are saying that dentist facial expression was cheerful with a smile

98.4% of patients are saying that dentist does not criticize their oral condition or compares it with others.

98.3% of patients are saying that the question which was asked during offering care was appropriate.

98% of patients are saying that treatment was not painful.

98.5% of patients are saying that the dental examination was thorough.

98.7% of patients are saying that they received good quality of treatment.

96.9% of patients are saying that dental instruments were sterilized.


97.8% of patients are saying that treatment time table was explained previously and was maintained.

97.5% of patients are saying that working hours of the clinic were suitable for them

97.3% of patients are saying that no need to wait for long time to get an appointment

98.3% of patients are saying that treatment was satisfactory.

97.9% of patients are saying that waiting area was comfortable.


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.

Date:12.06.2017

From

Dr.S.Jimson

Professor & Head

Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery

Tagore Dental College & Hospital

To

Dr.Chitraa R. Chandran

The Principal

Tagore Dental College & Hospital


Sub: Regarding patients' feedback for the year 2017.

Respected Madam,

This is to bring to your notice that following the measures that were taken during the previous years based on the patients' feedback, there were no negative feedback from our patients' this year. We will continue to maintain this positive feedback by providing quality dental treatment to our patients' in the coming years.

Thanking you.


Yours Sincerely,



Dr. S.JIMSON, M.D.S.,
T.N. Reg. No. 2187
Professor & Head,
Dept. of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
Rathinamangalam, Vandalur (P.O.)
Chennai-600 117.

(Dr. S. Jimson)

PATIENT'S FEEDBACK 2017



- 1) 95.1% patient's feel dental staffs were concentrating on their work.
- 2) 89.4% patient's feel dentists were friendly with them.
- 3) 92.1% patient's tell dentists explained the procedures before starting the treatment.
- 4) 92.6% patient's tell dentists gave advice after treatment.
- 5) 88.4% patient's feel dentists facial expressions was cheerful .
- 6) 91.8% patient's feel dentists did not criticize their oral condition or compared it with others.
- 7) 86.2% patient's feel dentists asked appropriate questions during offering care.
- 8) 93.8% patient's feel treatment offered was not painful.
- 9) 90.8% patient's feel thorough dental examination was done.
- 10) 90.7% patient's received good quality treatment.
- 11) 90.3% patient's feel dental instruments used were sterilized.
- 12) 87.9% patient's feel treatment timetable was explained previously and was maintained.
- 13) 90.5% patient's feel working hours was suitable for them.
- 14) 90.1% patient's did not have to wait long to have an appointment.
- 15) 89.6% patient's were satisfied with the treatment.
- 16) 90% patient's feel waiting area as comfortable.

Date:11.06.2018

From

Dr.S.Jimson

Professor & Head

Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery

Tagore Dental College & Hospital

To

Dr.Chitraa R. Chandran

The Principal

Tagore Dental College & Hospital


Sub: Regarding patients' feedback for the year 2018.

Respected Madam,


This is to bring to your notice that following the measures that were taken during the previous years based on the patients' feedback, there were no negative feedback from our patients' this year. We will continue to maintain this positive feedback by providing quality dental treatment to our patients' in the coming years.

Thanking you.

Yours Sincerely,


Dr. S. JIMSON, M.D.S.,
T.N. Reg. No. 2187
Professor & Head,
Dept. of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery
(Dr.S.Jimson) TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
Rathinamangalam, Vandalur (P.O.)
Chennai-600 127.

PATIENT'S FEEDBACK 2018



- 1) 91.4% patient's feel dental staffs were concentrating on their work.
- 2) 93.4% patient's feel dentists were friendly with them.
- 3) 94.4% patient's tell dentists explained the procedures before starting the treatment.
- 4) 96.4% patient's tell dentists gave advice after treatment.
- 5) 92% patient's feel dentists facial expressions was cheerful .
- 6) 93.4% patient's feel dentists did not criticize their oral condition or compared it with others.
- 7) 92.2% patient's feel dentists asked appropriate questions during offering care.
- 8) 91% patient's feel treatment offered was not painful.
- 9) 95.5% patient's feel thorough dental examination was done.
- 10) 92% patient's received good quality treatment.
- 11) 92.9% patient's feel dental instruments used were sterilized.
- 12) 92% patient's feel treatment timetable was explained previously and was maintained.
- 13) 94.4% patient's feel working hours was suitable for them.
- 14) 82.3% patient's did not have to wait long to have an appointment.
- 15) 90.6% patient's were satisfied with the treatment.
- 16) 80.8% patient's feel waiting area as comfortable.

From

6/1/2018

Department of Periodontics

Tagore Dental College & Hospital

Chennai

To

The Principal,

Tagore Dental College & Hospital

Chennai

Respected Madam,


Subject: Regarding the suggestions given by the department of on the patient feedback forms submitted for the year 2017.

This is to bring to your kind notice regarding the suggestions given by the department on the feedback forms submitted for the year 2017. There was an overall improvement in the patient services when compared to the previous year. The following steps were taken to improve the patient services.


- 1) Patients complained of long waiting time and frequent appointments for scaling. It was ensured that complete scaling was done (hand scaling/ultrasonic) on the same day of allotment.

Thanking You,

Yours sincerely,



- 1) 98.7 % of patients are saying that dental staffs were concentrating on their work.
- 2) 90.2 % of patients are saying that dentists were friendly with them.
- 3) 88.9 % of patients are saying that dentist explained the procedures before starting treatment.
- 4) 83.8% of patients are saying that dentist gave them advice after treatment.
- 5) 83.6 % of patients are saying that dentist's facial expression was cheerful with a smile.
- 6) 83.6% are saying that dentist did not criticize their oral hygiene and compared it with others.
- 7) 84.9 % of patients are saying that questions asked during treatment were appropriate.
- 8) 84 % of patients are saying that treatment was not painful.
- 9) 83.3 % of patients are saying that dental examination was thorough.
- 10) 83.6 % of patients are saying that they received good quality treatment.
- 11) 84.4% of patients are saying that the instruments used were sterilized.
- 12) 88% of patients are saying that treatment timetable was explained previously and was maintained.
- 13) 90.3 % of patients are saying that the working hours of the clinic are suitable for them.
- 14) 87.5 % of patients are saying that they don't have to wait for a long time to get an appointment.
- 15) 88.5 % of patients are saying that the treatment was satisfactory.
- 16) 84.6% of patients are saying that the waiting area was comfortable.


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
 PRINCIPAL
 TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
 RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
 MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.

PATIENT FEEDBACK FORM 2017

FROM

**Department of Conservative dentistry and Endodontics,
Tagore dental college and hospital,
Chennai.**

TO

**The Principal,
Tagore dental college and hospital,
Chennai .**

Respected madam,


Subject: Regarding the suggestions given by the patient feedback forms given in the year 2017

This is to bring to your kind notice that there was an overall improvement in the patient services when compared to the previous year. The following measures undertaken to improve the patient needs and services.

- 1) Patients are saying that treatment was not painful and students and staffs are concentrating on their work .**
- 2) Patients are saying that dental instruments were sterilized and it was clean .**


Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,



(HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT)

PATIENT FEEDBACK FORM 2017



1. 98.1 % of patients are saying that dental staff were concentrating on their work.
2. 98% of patients are saying that dentist were friendly with them.
3. 97.5% of patients are saying that dentist explained the procedures before starting the treatment.
4. 96.7% of patients are saying that dentist gave advice after treatment.
5. 97.5% of patients are saying that dentist facial expression was cheerful with a smile.
6. 99.1% of patients are saying that dentist did not criticize their oral condition or compared it with others.
7. 94.7 % of patients are saying that questions which was asked during treatment was appropriate .
8. 93.2% of patients are saying that treatment was not painful.
9. 95.7% of patients are saying that the dental examination was thorough.
10. 95.9% of patients are saying that they receive good quality treatment.
11. 93.7 % of patients are saying that the dental instruments used were sterilized.
12. 96.7 % of patients are saying that treatment timetable was explained previously and was maintained.
13. 88.40% of patients are saying that the working hours of the clinic were suitable for them.
14. 86.50% of patients are saying that they don't need to wait for long time to get an appointment.
15. 99.1% of patients are saying that the treatment was satisfactory.
16. 90% of patients are saying that waiting area was comfortable.

Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
 PRINCIPAL
 TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
 RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
 MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.

PATIENT FEEDBACK FORM 2018

FROM

Department of Conservative dentistry and Endodontics,
Tagore dental college and hospital,
Chennai.

TO

The Principal,
Tagore dental college and hospital,
Chennai .

Respected madam,

Subject: Regarding the suggestions given by the patient feedback forms given in the year 2018

This is to bring to your kind notice that there was an overall improvement in the patient services when compared to the previous year. The following measures undertaken to improve the patient needs and services.

- 1) Patients are saying that gave dentists advice after treatment and good quality treatment by the dentist .
- 2) Patients are saying that dentist were friendly with them .


Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,



(HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT)

PATIENT FEEDBACK FORM 2018



1. 98.7% of patients are saying that dental staff were concentrating on their work.
2. 99% of patients are saying that dentist were friendly with them.
3. 98.5% of patients are saying that dentist explained the procedures before starting the treatment.
4. 96.7% of patients are saying that dentist gave advice after treatment.
5. 97.5% of patients are saying that dentist facial expression was cheerful with a smile.
6. 99.1% of patients are saying that dentist did not criticise their oral condition or compared it with others.
7. 95.7 % of patients are saying that questions which was asked during treatment was appropriate .
8. 99.2% of patients are saying that treatment was not painful.
9. 95.7% of patients are saying that the dental examination was thorough.
10. 95.9% of patients are saying that they receive good quality treatment.
11. 93.6 % of patients are saying that the dental instruments used were sterilized.
12. 96.6% of patients are saying that treatment timetable was explained previously and was maintained.
13. 88.4 % of patients are saying that the working hours of the clinic were suitable for them.
14. 87.5% of patients are saying that they don't need to wait for long time to get an appointment.
15. 99.1% of patients are saying that the treatment was satisfactory.
16. 93% of patients are saying that waiting area was comfortable.


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.

4.1.18

From

The Head of the Department ,
Department of Pedodontics and Preventive dentistry,
Tagore Dental College and Hospital,
Chennai .

To

The Principal ,
Tagore Dental College and Hospital ,
Chennai .

Sub : Regarding the suggestions given by the department on the patient feedback forms submitted for the year 2017

This is to bring to your kind notice regarding the suggestions given by the department on the feedback forms submitted for the year 2017. It was found that there was an overall improvement in patient services when compared to the previous year. The following steps were taken ,

1. Some parents and patients felt that they had to wait because the IV yr students come to op by 10.30, in such cases CRRI students were asked to take up those cases.


Thanking you .

Yours truly ,



DEPARTMENT OF PEDODONTICS AND PREVENTIVE DENTISTRY

PATIENT FEEDBACK INFERENCE 2017



99% of patients are saying that dental staffs were concentrating on their work.

99% of patients are saying that dentist were friendly with them.

99% of patients are saying that dentist explained the procedures before starting the Treatment

99% of patients are saying that dentist gave advices after treatment

99% of patients are saying that dentist facial expression was cheerful with a smile

98% of patients are saying that dentist does not criticize their oral condition or compares it with others.

97% of patients are saying that the question which was asked during offering care was appropriate.

83% of patients are saying that treatment was not painful.

98% of patients are saying that the dental examination was thorough.

99% of patients are saying that they received good quality of treatment.

99% of patients are saying that dental instruments were sterilized.

99% of patients are saying that treatment time table was explained previously and was maintained.

97% of patients are saying that working hours of the clinic were suitable for them

91% of patients are saying that no need to wait for long time to get an appointment

98% of patients are saying that treatment was satisfactory.

97% of patients are saying that waiting area was comfortable.

Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.

5.1.19

From

The Head of the Department ,
Department of Pedodontics and Preventive dentistry,
Tagore Dental College and Hospital,
Chennai .

To

The Prinicpal ,
Tagore Dental College and Hospital ,
Chennai .

Sub : Regarding the suggestions given by the department on the patient feedback forms submitted for the year 2018.

This is to bring to your kind notice regarding the suggestions given by the department on the feedback forms submitted for the year 2018. It was found that there was an overall improvement in patient services when compared to the previous year. The following steps were taken ,

1. Because of pulpal involvement patients were finding the treatment painful ,so the patient were asked to undergo antibiotic cover before starting the procedure.


Thanking you .

Yours truly ,



DEPARTMENT OF PEDODONTICS AND PREVENTIVE DENTISTRY

PATIENT FEEDBACK INFERENCE 2018



99% of patients are saying that dental staffs were concentrating on their work.

99% of patients are saying that dentist were friendly with them.

99% of patients are saying that dentist explained the procedures before starting the Treatment

99% of patients are saying that dentist gave advices after treatment

99% of patients are saying that dentist facial expression was cheerful with a smile

99% of patients are saying that dentist does not criticize their oral condition or compares it with others.

97% of patients are saying that the question which was asked during offering care was appropriate.

81% of patients are saying that treatment was not painful.

99% of patients are saying that the dental examination was thorough.

99% of patients are saying that they received good quality of treatment.

99% of patients are saying that dental instruments were sterilized.

99% of patients are saying that treatment time table was explained previously and was maintained.

90% of patients are saying that working hours of the clinic were suitable for them

96% of patients are saying that no need to wait for long time to get an appointment

99% of patients are saying that treatment was satisfactory.

96% of patients are saying that waiting area was comfortable.

Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.

DEPARTMENT OF ORTHODONTICS

PATIENT FEED BACK ANALYSIS – (2016 -2017)

From

Head of the Department
Dept of Orthodontics
Tagore Dental College & Hospital

To

The Principal
Tagore Dental College & Hospital

Respected Madam,

Subject: Regarding measures taken for the grievances of patient feedback for the academic year 2016-2017

This is to bring to your kind notice that the following measures have been taken regarding grievances in patient feedback. The overall percentage shows improvement than the previous year.

Feedback Q.no:8


- 80 % Patients were saying dental treatment was not painful. there might be accidental pricks of wires, brackets gives pain. Care will be taken to provide painless treatment.

Thanking you


Head of Department
Department of Orthodontics

Dr. K. BALAJI, MDS
PROF. & HOD
Department of Orthodontics
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR (P.O)
CHENNAI-600 127.

Patient Feedback 2016-2017



- 100% Patients are saying dentist were concentrating on their work.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist were friendly with them.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist explained procedures before start of treatment.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist gave advices after treatment.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist facial expression was cheerful with a smile.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist doesn't criticize their oral condition or compared with others.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist asked appropriate questions during care.
- 80% Patients are saying treatment was not painful.
- 100% Patients are saying dental examination was thorough.
- 99% Patients are saying they received good quality treatment.
- 99% Patients are saying dental instruments were sterilized.
- 100% Patients are saying treatment timetable was explained previously & was maintained.
- 100% Patients are saying working hours of the clinic were suitable for them.
- 99% Patients are saying no need to wait to get an appointment.
- 100% Patients are saying treatment was satisfactory.
- 97% Patients are saying waiting area was comfortable.

Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
 PRINCIPAL
 TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
 RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
 MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.

DEPARTMENT OF ORTHODONTICS

PATIENT FEED BACK ANALYSIS – (2017 -2018)

From

Head of the Department
Dept of Orthodontics
Tagore Dental College & Hospital

To

The Principal
Tagore Dental College & Hospital

Respected Madam,

Subject: Regarding measures taken for the grievances of patient feedback for the academic year 2017-2018

This is to bring to your kind notice that the following measures have been taken regarding grievances in patient feedback. The overall percentage shows improvement than the previous year.

Feedback Q.no:8

- 80 % Patients were saying dental treatment not painful. there might be accidental pricks of wires, brackets gives pain. Care will be taken to provide painless treatment.

Thanking you




Head of Department


Department of Orthodontics

Dr. K. BALAJI, MDS
PROF. & HOD
Department of Orthodontics
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR (P.O)
CHENNAI-600 127.

Patient Feedback 2017-2018



- 100% Patients are saying dentist were concentrating on their work.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist were friendly with them.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist explained procedures before start of treatment.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist gave advices after treatment.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist facial expression was cheerful with a smile.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist doesn't criticize their oral condition or compared with others.
- 100% Patients are saying dentist asked appropriate questions during care.
- 80% Patients are saying treatment was not painful.
- 100% Patients are saying dental examination was thorough.
- 100% Patients are saying they received good quality treatment.
- 100% Patients are saying dental instruments were sterilized.
- 98% Patients are saying treatment timetable was explained previously & was maintained.
- 82% Patients are saying working hours of the clinic were suitable for them.
- 100% Patients are saying no need to wait to get an appointment.
- 100% Patients are saying treatment was satisfactory.
- 98% Patients are saying waiting area was comfortable.


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
 PRINCIPAL
 TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
 RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
 MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.


TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
DEPARTMENT OF ORAL MEDICINE & RADIOLOGY


2018-19 STAFF PERFORMANCE

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/CD E/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19
1	Dr. Balaji. N	2	18	1	0	1	10	
2	Dr. Parvathi. S	1	6		1		4	
3	Dr. C. Deepika	1	1	1	0		3	

2018-19 STAFF POINTS

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/CD E/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19	HOD ratings	Total
1	Dr. Balaji. N	10	10	5		5	10		7.8	47.8
2	Dr. Parvathi. S	5	6	0	5		4		7.9	27.9
3	Dr. C. Deepika	5	1	5			3			14


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.


Dr. G.S. ASOKAN
Professor & HOD,
Dept. of Oral Medicine & Radiology,
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, MELAKOTTAIYUR (P.O.)
CHENNAI-600 127.


TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
DEPARTMENT OF ORAL PATHOLOGY


2018-19 STAFF PERFORMANCE

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/CD E/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19
1	Dr. L.S. Makesh Raj	3	18	1	2	2	7	-
2	Dr. Jai Santhosh Manikandan	1	18	1	1	1	7	-
3	Dr. S. Prasanna	1	10	1	1	1	10	-

2018-19 STAFF POINTS

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/CD E/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19	HOD ratings	Total
1	Dr. L.S. Makesh Raj	10	10	5	10	5	7	-	9.2	56.2
2	Dr. Jai Santhosh Manikandan	5	10	5	5	5	7	-	8.3	45.3
3	Dr. S. Prasanna	1	10	1	1	1	10	-	7.9	31.9


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.


HEAD OF DEPARTMENT
Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL

TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology
HEAD OF DEPARTMENT
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL

**TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
DEPARTMENT OF ORAL SURGERY**

2018-19 STAFF PERFORMANCE

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/CD E/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19
1	Dr. J. Parthiban	2	-	-	-	-	5	-
2	Dr. Lokesh	-	4	3	4	-	14	-
3	Dr. B. Anandh	-	4	3	1	-	16	-
4	Dr. Bala Jagannath Gupta	-	2	-	-	3	10	-
5	Dr Selvakumar	1	4	1	1	-	4	-

2018-19 STAFF POINTS

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/CD E/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19	HOD ratings	Total
1	Dr. J. Parthiban	10	-	-	-	-	5	-	4.4	19.4
2	Dr. Lokesh	-	4	10	10	-	14	-	6.3	44.3
3	Dr. B. Anandh	-	4	10	5	-	16	-	6.9	41.9
4	Dr. Bala Jagannath Gupta	-	2	-	-	10	10	-	6.5	28.5
5	Dr Selvakumar	5	4	5	5	-	4	-	5.5	28.5


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.


Dr. S. JAYARAJ, M.D.S.,
M.A. Reg. No. 2187
Professor & Head,
Dept. of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
Rathinamangalam, Vandalur (P.O.)
Chennai-600 127.


**TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
DEPARTMENT OF ORTHODONTIA**

2018-19 STAFF PERFORMANCE

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/C DE/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19
1	Dr. Job Jacob Anison	1	9	-	1	-	5	-
2	Dr. Sunil Chandy Varghese	2	5	-	1	-	6	-
3	Dr. K. Mahalakshmi	1	11	-	-	-	6	-
4	Dr. R. Rajesh	1	10	-	1	1	6	-
5	Dr. G. Preethi	1	7	-	1	-	3	-

2018-19 STAFF POINTS

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/C DE/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19	HOD ratings	Total
1	Dr. Job Jacob Anison	5	9	-	5	-	5	-	9.6	33.6
2	Dr. Sunil Chandy Varghese	10	5	-	5	-	6	-	8	34
3	Dr. K. Mahalakshmi	5	10	-	-	-	6	-	8	29
4	Dr. R. Rajesh	5	10	-	5	5	6	-	9.4	40.4
5	Dr. G. Preethi	5	7	-	5	-	3	-	9.5	29.5


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.


Dr. K. BALAJI, MDS
PROF. & HOD
Department of Orthodontics
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR (P.O.)
CHENNAI-600 127.


TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
DEPARTMENT OF PEDODONTIA


2018-19 STAFF PERFORMANCE

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/C DE/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19
1	Dr. R. Jayaprakash	3	5	1	-	-	8	-
2	Dr. Lakshmi Priya	1	3	1	-	-	6	-
3	Dr. M. Kameshwaran	2	8	4	-	-	8	-
4	Dr. K. Gayathri	-	2	-	-	-	2	-

2018-19 STAFF POINTS

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/C DE/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19	HOD ratings	Total
1	Dr. R. Jayaprakash	10	5	5	-	-	8	-	7.5	35.5
2	Dr. Lakshmi Priya	5	3	5	-	-	6	-	8.2	27.2
3	Dr. M. Kameshwaran	10	8	10	-	-	8	-	8.5	44.5
4	Dr. K. Gayathri	-	2	-	-	-	2	-		4


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR. CHENNAI-600 127.


DEPARTMENT OF PEDODONTICS & PREVENTIVE DENTISTRY
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM
CHENNAI-600 127.

**TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
DEPARTMENT OF PERIODONTIA**

2018-19 STAFF PERFORMANCE

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/CD E/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19
1	Dr. Bhuvaneshwari	1	10			1	14	
2	Dr. T. Parthasaradhi	1	5		1		6	
3	Dr. R. Priyadarshni		1				6	
4	Dr. Juala Catherine	2	8		1	1	10	
5	Dr. Vignesh Vikram		5	1		1	5	

2018-19 STAFF POINTS

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/CD E/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19	HOD ratings	Total
1	Dr. Bhuvaneshwari	5	10			5	10		6.4	36.4
2	Dr. T. Parthasaradhi	5	5		5		6		7.9	28.9
3	Dr. R. Priyadarshni		1				6		6	13
4	Dr. Juala Catherine	10	8		5	5	10		6.9	44.9
5	Dr. Vignesh Vikram		5	5		5	5		6.9	26.9

ms
Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.

ms
Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
Professor & HOD
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST.
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600127.

TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
DEPARTMENT OF PROSTHODONTIA

2018-19 STAFF PERFORMANCE

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/C DE/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19
1	Dr. Jacob Mathew Philip	13	9	5	12	2	9	
2	Dr. Narasimman	1	15	1	-	1	8	-
3	Dr. Rathinavel Pandian	1	17	1	-	1	7	-
4	Dr. Helen Mary Abraham	10	14	-	10	1	8	1
5	Dr. N. Vivek Rajasimhan	2	8	1	-	-	9	-
6	Dr. N. Nithiyarajan	2	14	1	-	3	11	-
7	Dr. Tamizhesai	-	3	-	-	-	3	-


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.

2018-19 STAFF POINTS

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/C DE/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19	HOD ratings	Total
1	Dr. Jacob Mathew Philip	10	9	10	10	10	9		7.9	65.9
2	Dr. Narasimman	5	10	5	-	5	8	-	7.9	40.9
3	Dr. Rathinavel Pandian	5	10	5	-	5	7	-	7.6	39.6
4	Dr. Helen Mary Abraham	10	10	-	10	10	8	5	7	60
5	Dr. N. Vivek Rajasimhan	10	8	5	-	-	9	-	4.2	36.2
6	Dr. N. Nithiyarajan	10	10	5	-	10	10	-	7.7	52.7
7	Dr. Tamizhesai	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	6.6	12.6


Dr. C.J. VENKATA KRISHNAN
PROF. & HOD
Department of Prosthodontics
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
Rathinamangalam, Vandalur (p.o)
Chennai-600 127.


**TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH DENTISTRY**

2018-19 STAFF PERFORMANCE

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/CD E/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19
1	Dr Delfina Lovelina	2	10	2	2	4	8	2
2	Dr. Kumara Raja. B	5	18	-	2	1	5	1
3	Dr. Nivedha Subburaman	1	7	-	2	-	2	-

2018-19 STAFF POINTS

S. No	Staff name	Publication 2018-19	Student guidance 2018-19	Research 2108-19	Student Research 2018-19	Paper Presentation 2018-19	Conference/CD E/Workshop attendance 2018-19	Award received 2018-19	HOD ratings	Total
1	Dr Delfina Lovelina	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	8.1	76.1
2	Dr. Kumara Raja. B	10	10	-	10	10	5	5	7.4	57.4
3	Dr. Nivedha Subburaman	5	7	-	10	-	2	-	6.4	30.4


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
PRINCIPAL
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600 127.


Dr. CHITRAA R. CHANDRAN
Professor & HOD
TAGORE DENTAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
RATHINAMANGALAM, VANDALUR POST.
MELAKOTTAIYUR, CHENNAI-600127.